



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IV

345 COURTLAND STREET, N.E.  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

JUL 14 1992

3 8

0939

**REF: 4WD-SSRB**

James C. Brown  
Manager, Environmental Affairs  
Department  
Olin Chemicals  
Post Office Box 248  
Charleston, Tennessee 37310

RE: Olin Corp./McIntosh Plant Superfund Site  
Candidate Technologies  
Technical Memorandum

Dear Mr. Brown:

Please find enclosed EPA's comments on the Candidate Technologies Technical Memorandum. Please review these comments and provide a response on how you plan to incorporate these comments in future deliverables. Submit your response on or before close of business on July 27, 1992. EPA will review your response and determine the acceptability of your response.

Please do not hesitate to contact me on or before July 22, 1992 if there are any questions or concerns regarding the enclosed document or any future deliverables.

Sincerely,

Cheryl W. Smith  
Remedial Project Manager  
South Superfund Remedial Branch

Enclosure

cc: Toni Odom, Olin  
Joe Downey, ADEM

**TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS ON  
THE CANDIDATE TECHNOLOGIES  
TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

**Olin Corporation  
McIntosh, Alabama**

3 8

0940

---

**General Comments**

The document included a well-researched presentation of available technologies. In addition, it was well written. However, there are a few concerns that need to be addressed prior to submission of the Revised Memorandum scheduled for submission on or before August 31, 1992. These items are listed below:

1. The document only addressed Operable Unit 2 (OU2). When the revised document is prepared, preliminary data from the Phase III sampling effort should be available. This will allow for inclusion of technologies that will address contamination present in OU1.
2. The document identified numerous technologies that cannot be used due to specific site conditions. The revision should be utilized as a streamlining mechanism to weed out those technologies that are not applicable.